table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

# RESOLUTIONS SUBMITTED TODAY

Mr. OSSOFF. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the en bloc consideration of the following Senate resolutions, which were submitted earlier today: S. Res. 441; S. Res. 442; S. Res. 443; S. Res. 444; and S. Res. 445, congratulating the Atlanta Braves.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolutions en bloc.

Mr. OSSOFF. I ask unanimous consent that the resolutions be agreed to; that the preambles, where applicable, be agreed to; and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table, all en bloc.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered.

The resolutions (S. Res. 441, S. Res. 444, and S. Res. 445) were agreed to.
The preambles were agreed to.

(The resolutions, with their preambles, are printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

The resolutions (S. Res. 442 and S. Res. 443) were agreed to.

(The resolutions are printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

# ORDERS FOR FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2021, THROUGH MONDAY, NO-VEMBER 15, 2021

Mr. OSSOFF. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn to then convene for pro forma sessions only, with no business being conducted, on the following dates and times, and that following each pro forma session, the Senate adjourn until the next pro forma session: Friday, November 5, at 8:30 a.m.; Tuesday, November 9, at 12 noon; and Friday, November 12, at 8:30 a.m.

I further ask that when the Senate adjourns on Friday, November 12, it next convene at 3 p.m., Monday, November 15; that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and morning business be closed; that upon the conclusion of morning business, the Senate proceed to executive session to resume consideration of the Steele nomination; finally, that notwithstanding the provisions of rule XXII, the cloture motions filed during today's session ripen at 5:30 p.m. on Monday, November 15.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. OSSOFF. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the previous order, following the remarks of Senator Sullivan.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Alaska.

# NOMINATION OF SAULE OMAROVA

Mr. SULLIVAN. Mr. President, I want to spend a few minutes talking about the President's nominee to be Comptroller of the Currency and her recent comments on those of us who were asking questions about her background, because they are pretty outrageous, and I think it is time. In some ways, we need to put an end to this notion that you can't criticize someone for their ideas without being charged with things like, maybe, racism, which kind of gets me a little bit riled up, since I think I was one of the targets of her remarks.

I have been down on the floor talking about some of the nominees that this administration has put forward. Some are so radical, far left.

The ATF nominee was actually against the Second Amendment. He got withdrawn.

The BLM Director was an ecoterrorist. She got voted in. Now she is in charge of 60 percent of my State—remarkable. I think a lot of Senators are going to regret that vote.

And now we have a nominee to be the Comptroller of the Currency: Saule Omarova. She is charged with chartering, regulating, and supervising all national banks—a really important position, for a capitalist economy, in particular.

There is a problem, though, Mr. President. It doesn't seem like she much likes banks or, for that matter, the free market or, for that matter, capitalism or the financial system in America. So Senators have been coming down to the floor and in committee, asking questions, doing our due diligence on this nominee. I want to commend Senator TOOMEY, in particular, who has been doing that, and I have been joining him.

So I am going to talk a little bit more about her background and her ideas, which, by the way, have nothing to do with her race or her sex—nothing. I just want to know what her ideas are. So I am going to talk a little bit about that.

A 1989 graduate of Moscow State University, where she received the Lenin Personal Academic Scholarship—that is the Vladimir Lenin Personal Academic Scholarship. And from what we know about her writings, yes, she was raised in a communist country. That is nothing against her. But sometimes you get notions of capitalism, social-

ism, communism, Marxism. And her writings are something that are of interest to the committee—certainly to me, certainly to Senator Toomey—about what she believes in terms of the financial system, socialism, communism.

Here is what she tweeted in 2019, just 2 years ago: Until I came to the U.S., I couldn't imagine things like the gender pay gap still existed in today's world. Say what you want about the old USSR, but there was no pay gap there. Markets don't always work best.

That is a tweet 2 years ago. Say what you want about the old USSR, about Stalin and Lenin and the roughly 100 million people killed during their reign. Say what you want about the old USSR, the famine, the human degradation, the ill-fated, violent attempts to snuff out freedom and liberty, there and all across the world.

She clarified: I never claimed men and women were treated absolutely equal in every facet of the old Soviet Union. But people's salaries were set by the state in a gender-blind manner. Those things are still a pipe dream in American society.

I mean, listen to her. This is just 2 years ago, still talking about the golden days of the USSR.

There was gender equity, all right. Both sexes starved equally, and if you complained, you were sent to Siberia, regardless of if you were a man or a woman.

But her nostalgia for socialist, communist policies doesn't end with pay disparities. She has advocated for expanding the Federal Reserve's mandate to include the Federal Reserve people's ledger she has written about—a people's ledger.

By separating the lending function from the monetary function, the proposed reform that she has talked about will effectively "end banking as we know it." This was written in 2014, as a professor. These are radical, radical ideas. These are ideas, and this person is supposed to be charged with being in charge of the financial system of America—capitalism.

Some of us still believe in capitalism here. Some don't.

But it is OK to question these ideas. She has put them out there.

The ranking member of the banking committee has asked for a copy of her thesis. Now, every member going through confirmation in the Senate is supposed to give up any writings that she had. This used to be on her CV until a couple of years ago. Then she deleted it. It is called "Karl Marx's Economic Analysis and the Theory of Revolution in The Capital."

So Senator Toomey has asked for that. She is required to give it. And, as of now—this is a letter I would like to have printed in the RECORD. This is October 5. Senator Toomey asked for this. She still hasn't responded and provided it. She is required to.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. SENATE, COMMITTEE ON BANK-ING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AF-

Washington, DC, October 5, 2021.

Ms. Saule Omarova, Professor, Cornell Law School,

Ithica, NY.

DEAR Ms. OMAROVA: For the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs to fully assess the fitness of individuals to serve in Senate-confirmed executive and independent agency positions, the Committee requires nominees to submit all "books, articles, reports, and other published materials [one has] written."

Therefore, I write today seeking a copy of your thesis, "Karl Marx's Economic Analysis and the Theory of Revolution in The Capwhich you wrote as a student at Moscow State University on the V.I. Lenin Personal Academic Scholarship. While it appears that you have deleted any reference to your thesis in the version of your curriculum vitae (CV) that is currently available on the Cornell Law School website, the paper appeared on your CV as recently as April 2017.

Given that your thesis was written while vou were a student at Moscow State University in the late 1980s. I assume that it was written in Russian and will require translation. To ensure there is adequate time for translation and review by lawmakers, my Committee staff reached out to both you and staff at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) requesting a copy last month. Unfortunately, we have not received any assurances that the Committee would receive a copy of the paper in a timely fash-

Accordingly, I formally request that you provide a copy of the original Russian-language thesis to the Committee, along with an English version if one exists, no later than the close of business on October 13, 2021.

Thank you for your attention to this mat-

Sincerely.

PAT TOOMEY, Ranking Member.

Mr. SULLIVAN. But again, Mr. President, these are ideas. These are her ideas. It is very fair for U.S. Senators to ask for these things, to evaluate these things

Do we want someone who doesn't believe in the financial system and capitalism in charge of American banks? I don't.

So I would like to learn more. And so we have been pressing. That is our job. That is our job. So she hasn't responded to Senator TOOMEY.

As I mentioned, in a reference to a 2014 book entitled "The End of Banking," she wrote: "By separating their lending function from their monetary function, the proposed reform"—for the Fed—"will effectively 'end banking' as we know it."

That is a really interesting, radical idea. That is 2014. These are her ideas. These are her ideas.

And if there is any doubt about her continued interest in Marxist ideology, it was recently reported that she joined a Facebook group called "Marxist Analysis and Policy," which, according to the group, "stand[s] for the selfemancipation of the working class and Socialism."

Recent—this is recent. This wasn't when she was at old Moscow State University—recent. She has not said that story was wrong about her Facebook group. So we can only assume it is

Did she join that group to espouse democracy and the free market? Here are the group's rules: "Support for the Tory party is not acceptable. A culture of diverse Marxist, Socialist and radical views is the framework for the group."

Again, these are ideas—radical ideas, socialist ideas—that are coming from the woman who has been nominated by the President of the United States to be in charge of all the banks, the Comptroller of the Currency, a really important job.

So we have been asking about this. We have been asking about this. We want to see her writings. This is completely legitimate that the American people want the Senate to be doing this—advice and consent. What do you really think? Do you believe in capitalism? Do you believe in banks? You want to end banking as we know it? Well, how is that going to work if you are Comptroller of the Currency?

So we are asking for these things, asking for her thesis.

Then, Mr. President, sadly, because I think Americans are finally getting tired of this, she was asked about this Republican criticism. It is legitimate criticism. And the quote was, well, "I am an easy target"-this is quoting from her now—"an immigrant, a woman, a minority."

And asked if she thought if some of the criticism of her that I am just describing—I am one of the critics—was criticism based on her critics being "racist," she said, "I think that is true."

So here is my point, Mr. President. I think the American people are getting a little tired of this. I think the American people are getting a little tired of this. I and other Senators have been asking very legitimate questions about this woman's beliefs, about what is in her head, about how would she be the Comptroller of the Currency.

And the response is, from her: My critics are "racist."

Let me be a little more blunt. This is patently absurd. The U.S. Senators are allowed to ask questions—sometimes pointed questions—of nominees. The Presiding Officer certainly has done that in his career, and I respect that.

But every time someone asks a pointed question, if you come back and say, "Well, they are doing it for these nefarious reasons, like racism," it really doesn't advance the discussion now, does it, especially here on the floor of the U.S. Senate?

I really hope my colleagues here, whether you are a Democrat or Republican, can maybe tell the nominee: Hey, don't do that again.

Right?

Don't do that again.

These Senators are asking—I think I am asking-very legitimate questions; like, No. 1: Do you believe in capitalism? Do you believe in the financial

system of America? You are going to be put in charge of it.

I think it is quite legit to ask her

Her writing indicates she doesn't. This nominee has some very troubling views. We are allowed—in fact, we are required—to fully vet nominees. And calling Senators racist or sexist for doing so-this vetting-is actually a disgraceful tactic that they might have used in the Soviet Union, that they might have used at Moscow State University, in other eras, to silence voices, but it is not going to work here on the Senate floor.

So the nominee needs to drop that tactic. You are not going silence me. You are not going to silence Senator TOOMEY. And I would just say this more generally-and we all know it, and we all feel it: Americans-men, women, Black, White, Brown, Native, non-Native—people are starting to get tired of this tactic that, when you criticize someone on their beliefs, they try to silence you or cancel you or end the discussion by bringing out "you are the racist" criticism. Let's not do that

This nominee needs to come forward, needs to answer questions, needs to provide us with her thesis, which is about her beliefs on socialism and capitalism, and we are going to continue to do our business in the vetting.

But I can tell you, I am one Senator who is not going to be silenced by a woman who is being criticized—the nominee; it doesn't matter if it is a woman or man—who is being criticized for very legitimate reasons and then turns around and tries to slander her critics. It is not going to work. As a matter of fact, I think it is going to make it much harder for this nominee to be confirmed.

I hope my colleagues look hard at her record, and I hope those who are advising her tell her to tone it down. Those tactics might work in the Soviet Union, which she seems to have a lot of affection for still, but they are not going to work in the United States of America, and they are really not going to work in the Halls of the U.S. Senate.

I yield the floor.

# ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 8:30 A.M. TOMORROW

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the U.S. Senate, at 4:57 p.m., stands adjourned until Friday, November 5, 2021, at 8:30 a.m.

#### NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON AIRPORTS AUTHORITY

SAMUEL H. SLATER, OF MASSACHUSETTS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE METRO-POLITAN WASHINGTON AIRPORTS AUTHORITY FOR A TERM EXPIRING NOVEMBER 22, 2023, VICE WILLIAM SHAW MCDERMOTT, TERM EXPIRED.

MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

ALICE P. ALBRIGHT, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TO BE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, MILLENNIUM CHAL-LENGE CORPORATION, VICE SEAN CAIRNCROSS.